

REMARKS

Claims 1-19 were examined and reported in the Office Action. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11-15 and 17-19 are rejected. Claims 1, 5, 6, 10 and 16 are amended. Claim 4 is cancelled. New claim 20 is added. Claims 1-3 and 5-20 remain.

Applicant requests reconsideration of the application in view of the following remarks.

I. 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c)

It is asserted in the Office Action that claim 16 is objected under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) as being in improper form. Applicant has amended claim 16 to overcome the 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) objection.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) objection for claim 16 is respectfully requested.

II. 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

It is asserted in the Office Action that claims 1-3, 11-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,414,669, issued to Masazumi ("Masazumi"). Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the limitations of claim 4 (which is now cancelled). As asserted in the Office Action, claim 4 would be allowable if re-written in independent form. Therefore, amended claim 1 is allowable over Masazumi, and the asserted rejection is moot.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) rejection for claims 1-3, 11-15 and 17-19 is respectfully requested.

III. 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

It is asserted in the Office Action that claims 7 and 8 are rejected in the Office Action under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Masazumi. Claim 7 directly depends from amended claim 1. Claim 8 depends from claim 7. As asserted in the Office Action, claim 4 would be allowable if re-written in independent form. Applicant has amended claim 1 to include the limitations from claim 4 (now cancelled). Therefore, claims 7 and 8 are allowable over Masazumi in view of no other prior art and the rejection is moot.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejections for claims 7 and 8, are respectfully requested.

IV. Allowable Subject Matter

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's assertion that Claims 4-6, 9, and 10 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base and any intervening claims. Applicant has amended claim 1 by including the limitations of claim 4. Therefore, Applicant respectfully asserts that Claims 1-3 and 5-20, as it now stands, are allowable for the reasons given above.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, it is believed that all claims now pending, namely claims 1-3 and 5-20, are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited at the earliest possible date. If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge those fees to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666. If a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of this Application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (310) 207-3800.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR, & ZAFMAN

Dated: March 18, 2003


By: 

Steven Laut
Reg. No. 47,736

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
(310) 207-3800

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, BOX NON-FEE AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date shown below


Linda D'Elia

March 18, 2003

SL/lmd

09/847570

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A method of driving an LCD is presented. The method includes providing an array of pixels. Cholesteric liquid crystals arranged between spaced transparent substrates, and a reset pulse and many selection pulses are also provided, resulting in driving waveform(s).